

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 11

LEARY & ASSOCIATES 3900 NEWPARK MALL RD. ' THIRD FLOOR, SUITE 317 NEWARK CA 94560

**COPY MAILED** 

JUN 1 4 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Brady et al.

Application No. 09/849,383 : ON PETITION

Filed: 5 May, 2001

Atty Docket No. LBL1028U

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 1 June, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 14 August, 2003, for failure to file a timely reply to the final Office action mailed on 13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A <u>grantable</u> petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

May, 2003, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 26 November, 2003.

Petitioner has now filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and an amendment as the required submission under 37 CFR 1.114.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3600 for consideration of the RCE and submission.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions